

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 1

1 BEFORE THE U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
(EPA)

2

3

IN RE: VEOLIA ES TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS AIR PERMIT,

4

5

6

7

EPA PUBLIC HEARING IN THE MATTER O F
8 VEOLIA ES TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS AIR PERMIT
FEBRUARY 19, 2013

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 2

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Hearing Officer Pope	5
5	OVERVIEW OF DRAFT PERMIT	
6	By Ms. Genevieve Damico	8
7	COMMENTS BY THE PUBLIC	
8	Ms. Kathleen Logan Smith	14
9	Ms. Kathy Andria	15
10	Ms. Kristhy St. Hilaire	1 8
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 3

1 BEFORE THE U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
(EPA)

2

3

4

5 IN RE: VEOLIA ES TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS AIR PERMIT,

6

7

8 A Public Hearing was held in the aforementioned
matter on the 19th day of February, 2013, between
9 the hours of 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon and 6:51
o'clock in the evening, at the Southern Illinois
10 University Edwardsville, East St. Louis Higher
Education Campus, Building B, Room 2083, in East St .
11 Louis, Illinois, before Pamela K. Needham, CCR, CSR
(MO, IL) and Notary Public, in a certain matter now
12 pending before the BEFORE THE U. S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY (IEPA) In the Matter of VEOLIA ES
13 TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS AIR PERMIT.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 4

1 APPEARANCES :

2

THE HEARING OFFICER:

3

Ms. Janet Pope

4

Community Involvement Coordinator

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

5

6

FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:

7

Ms. Genevieve Damico

8

Environmental Protection Agency Region 5

77 West Jackson Boulevard (AR-18J)

9

Chicago, IL 60604

(312) 353-4761

10

damico.genevieve@epa.gov

11

12 ALSO PRESENT FROM THE EPA:

13

14

David Ogulei

Andrea Morgan

15

Environmental Engineers

16

Francisco Arcaute

Media Relations Specialist

17

18

19 The Court Reporter:

20 Pamela K. Needham, IL CSR, MO CCR

Midwest Litigation Services

21

711 North 11th Street

St. Louis, MO 63101

22

314-644-2191

23

24

25

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 5

1 (On the record at 3:12 p.m.)

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Good afternoon,
4 everybody. My name is Janet Pope, and I'm a
5 Community Involvement Coordinator with the U.S.
6 Environmental Protection Agency. I will be servin g
7 as the Hearing Officer for today's hearing. A cou rt
8 reporter is here, she's making a transcript -- a
9 transcript of everything that is said here today,
10 and that transcript will become part of the offic ial
11 Agency Administrative record on this facility.

12 We have four people here from the EPA
13 today. We have Genevieve Damico, who is the Chie f
14 of the Air Permits section of the EPA. We have
15 David Ogulei and Andrea Morgan, who are
16 environmental site engineers with the EPA, they'r e
17 in the back. We also have Francisco Arcaute, who is
18 a media relations specialist at the EPA. Everybo dy
19 is from Chicago, from the Illinois office of the
20 EPA.

21 We are here today to share
22 information and to listen to your questions and
23 comments regarding the EPA's draft operating perm it
24 that EPA is proposing to issue to Veolia ES
25 Technical Solutions, LLC, located in Sauget,

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 6

1 Illinois. Today's hearing will have two parts. In
2 the first part, Genevieve Damico will provide an
3 overview of the draft permit. Second, we'll take
4 public comments for the record. However, we will
5 not respond to questions or comments today.

6 All persons wishing to speak during
7 this hearing should let us know by filling out a
8 blue index card with your name. When your name is
9 called, please state your name, then spell your last
10 name for the court reporter. If you have written
11 comments but do not wish to speak, please give them
12 to me before you leave. So that everyone who wishes
13 to comment has a chance to do so, please limit your
14 comments to two minutes. At the one and a half
15 minute mark, I will ask you to please conclude. At
16 this time you will have 30 seconds to conclude. If
17 you have not finished at that time, I will ask you
18 to release the floor to the next commenter. After
19 everyone has gotten a chance to comment, and if time
20 is still available, you may return and finish your
21 comments, or you may submit your remaining comments
22 to me on paper.

23 Please remember to repeat your last
24 name and spell it for the court reporter.

25 We will not be holding an informal

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 7

1 question and answer session as part of this hearing.
2 Any testimony received at this hearing, along with
3 any written comments received by the end of the
4 comment period, will be part of the official record
5 for this permit. The EPA has prepared a fact sheet,
6 which is available here today. The fact sheet and
7 other documents in the record for this permitting
8 action provide details about the permit and also
9 explain how you may file written comments. You can
10 find the record for this permitting action at
11 www.regulations.gov, Docket ID
12 EPA-R05-OAR-2012-0649.

13 Before we start, I would like to make
14 an announcement regarding the comment period. The
15 EPA has extended the comment period to April 1st,
16 2013. Therefore, you will now have until April 1st
17 to file your comments, and EPA will add any comments
18 that we receive by midnight on April 1st to the
19 permitting record.

20 So let the record show that it is
21 3:16 p.m. on February 19th, 2013. This hearing is
22 being held at the Distance Learning Lab, Room 2083,
23 in Building B of Southern Illinois University
24 Edwardsville - East St. Louis Higher Education
25 Campus, 601 James R. Thompson Boulevard in East St.

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 8

1 Louis, Illinois. This hearing is on the EPA's
2 proposal of a draft operating permit for the Veolia
3 ES Technical Solutions, LLC, located in Sauget,
4 Illinois.

5 Legal notice of this hearing was
6 published in the East St. Louis Monitor newspaper on
7 January 10th, and in the Belleville News Democrat
8 newspaper on January 11, 2013. Permit documents are
9 publicly available at www.regulations.gov, Docket
10 ID: EPA-R05-OAR-2012-0649, and information about the
11 hearing was placed on EPA's website.

12 Next I will call the names of those
13 who registered to speak today in the order that they
14 registered. When I call your name, please come up
15 to the front of the room, state your name and
16 address, spell your last name, and identify any
17 company or organization that you're representing.
18 But first, Genevieve Damico from EPA will give us an
19 overview of the permit.

20 MS. GENEVIEVE DAMICO: Good afternoon,
21 everybody. My name is Genevieve Damico, I'm the
22 Chief of the Air Permits Section at the Chicago
23 office of EPA. My staff prepared the draft permit
24 that is the subject of today's hearing.

25 Veolia's Sauget, Illinois, facility

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 9

1 is a treatment, storage and disposal facility which
2 accepts waste for disposal through incineration.
3 Veolia receives containers and bulk shipments of
4 hazardous and solid waste; analyzes and transfers
5 the waste to temporary storage facilities; and
6 processes and incinerates it in three combustion
7 units. The waste that Veolia receives is varied,
8 and can contain differing amounts of hazardous
9 material.

10 Veolia operates under a Clean Air Act
11 Title V permit, because it is a major source of
12 hazardous air pollutants emissions, and is subject
13 to one of the National Emissions Standards for
14 Hazardous Air Pollutants. As I will describe in
15 more detail in a few minutes, EPA is proposing to
16 use the significant modification procedures of Title
17 V of the Clean Air Act to modify the Title V
18 operating permit that EPA previously issued to
19 Veolia ES Technical Solutions, LLC.

20 EPA issued a Title V permit to Veolia
21 on September 12th, 2008, and the permit became
22 effective on October 12th, 2008. Prior to issuing
23 the permit, EPA reviewed historical metal feedrate
24 data supplied by Veolia. The term "feedrate"
25 describes the amount of waste that Veolia burns in

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 10

1 its combustion units. EPA concluded that the data
2 provided by Veolia was not reliable for determinin g
3 feedrate limits (also called operating parameters
4 limits, or OPLs) for heavy metals such as mercury,
5 lead, cadmium, arsenic, chromium and beryllium.
6 Feedrate limits set the maximum amount of specific
7 types of waste - here, heavy metals - that Veolia
8 can feed into its incinerators per hour.

9 Because EPA found the data
10 unreliable, EPA issued Veolia's permit without
11 including feedrate limits for mercury, lead,
12 cadmium, arsenic, chromium or beryllium. However ,
13 as required by the federal regulations governing
14 hazardous waste combustors, EPA included in the
15 permit a compliance schedule that required Veolia to
16 test all three combustors to develop feedrate lim its
17 for emissions of mercury, low-volatile metals (th at
18 is arsenic, chromium and beryllium) and
19 semi-volatile metals (that is lead and cadmium).

20 The permit required that Veolia
21 submit its test results and proposed feedrate lim its
22 to EPA by September 26th, 2008. If EPA found the
23 proposed limits acceptable, EPA would propose to
24 reopen the permit to incorporate the limits
25 developed in, from the required performance tests ,

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 11

1 and would take public comment on the limits at that
2 time.

3 Veolia conducted comprehensive
4 performance tests in August and September of 2008.
5 On October 10th, 2008, EPA -- or Veolia submitted to
6 EPA the results of these tests, and an application
7 for modification to its Title V permit to
8 incorporate feedrate limits for mercury,
9 semi-volatile metals, and low-volatile metals, as
10 specified in the compliance schedule.

11 However, Veolia withdrew the
12 modification application on December 13th, 2012,
13 after receiving notice that EPA intended to deny the
14 application and to reopen the permit to add feedrate
15 limits that EPA considered to be supported by the
16 available performance test data.

17 EPA is proposing to reopen the Title
18 V Permit for Veolia using EPA's Clean Air Act
19 authority to reopen permits for cause, to
20 incorporate heavy metal limits that EPA considers to
21 be supported by available performance test data.

22 This reopening is necessary to ensure that the
23 permit assures compliance with Title V of the Act
24 and its implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part
25 71, and the National Emissions Standards for

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 12

1 Hazardous Air Pollutants, NESHAP, for Hazardous
2 Waste Combustors, 40 C.F.R. Part 63, Subpart EEE.

3 EPA also is proposing to supplement
4 monitoring requirements to assure compliance with
5 the proposed feedrate limits.

6 Under the proposed monitoring terms ,
7 Veolia is required to install and operate a
8 multi-metals Continuous Emissions Monitoring System,
9 or CEMS, as one of -- on one of its incinerators,
10 Unit 3, for at least one year. A multi-metals CEMS
11 tests the air emitted during the incineration
12 process to determine the amount of mercury,
13 semi-volatile metals, and low-volatile metals in the
14 air and reports the results approximately once every
15 fifteen minutes.

16 The test results will help U.S. EPA
17 ensure the feedrate limits in the permit are
18 adequate to protect air quality. After a year of
19 running the CEMS, U.S. EPA believes it will have
20 enough information to determine if the feedrate
21 limits are appropriate, and Veolia will not be
22 required to use the multi-metals CEMS.

23 EPA has also proposed to improve
24 Veolia's feedrate analysis procedures. The
25 additional analysis procedures proposed by EPA would

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 13

1 supplement any other analysis procedures for
2 mercury, semi-volatile metals, and low-volatile
3 metals, as specified in Veolia's Feedstream Analysis
4 Plan, or FAP, and would supersede any less stringent
5 provisions in the FAP.

6 Incorporation of the additional
7 feedstream analysis procedures into the Title V
8 permit would not eliminate Veolia's obligation to
9 maintain an adequate FAP, consistent with federal
10 regulations for hazardous waste combustors.

11 As Ms. Pope noted, we will not answer
12 any questions or respond to any comments today,
13 however, after the close of the comment period we
14 will respond in writing to each written or oral
15 comment filed today, and any comment submitted
16 before the close of the comment period. Our
17 responses will be contained in a Response To
18 Comments document that we will distribute to each
19 person who files comments or requests and receives a
20 copy of the final permit. Please note that only
21 portions of the permit that are being changed
22 because of the proposed action are open for comment
23 during the public comment period.

24 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Again, just
25 restating, if you'd like to make a public comment,

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 14

1 you need to fill out one of these blue cards if yo u
2 have not done so, you need to fill out one of the s e
3 cards.

4 First commenter will be Kathleen
5 Logan Smith.

6 MS. KATHLEEN LOGAN SMITH: This is going t o
7 be fun for your reporter because I'm mostly going to
8 say whatever these people say. But I'm the Direct or
9 of Environmental Policy with the Missouri Coalitio n
10 For the Environment, and I wanted to -- my name i s
11 Kathleen Logan Smith, spelled just like it sounds ,
12 and I wanted to thank EPA Region 5 for opening th is
13 permit and for taking this opportunity to look at
14 these feedrates, because we're dealing with an
15 environmental justice community over here that's
16 bearing more than their share of environmental
17 pollutants, and I think that it's really importan t
18 that we make sure that we've done everything that we
19 can to make sure the Clean Act promises get
20 delivered to this community.

21 So I'd also suggest that we look a t
22 putting CEMS monitors on all of the emissions
23 sources, all of the stats, at least for a time, t o,
24 because sometimes the performance, you know, cann ot
25 be consistent across three different pieces of

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 15

1 equipment.

2 So that's all I have to say right
3 now, and we'll give more comments in writing. Thank
4 you.

5 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Commenter Number 2,
6 Kathy Andria.

7 MS. KATHY ANDRIA: Good afternoon. My name
8 is Kathy Andria, A-N-D-R-I-A. I am president of
9 American Bottom Conservancy and Conservation Chair
10 of the Kaskaskia Group of the Sierra Club, both of
11 which have members who live and recreate in East St.
12 Louis and surrounding areas that lie downwind of the
13 Veolia hazardous waste incinerator -- incinerator.

14 In 2004, both groups petitioned the
15 EPA administrator -- administrator to object to the
16 proposed Title V operating permit for the
17 incinerator, then called Onyx. Our petition was
18 successful. EPA did object, and now has oversight
19 of the Veolia incinerator. In 2003 at the original
20 Title V hearing, we brought the agency's attention
21 to reports of a history of violations and accidents
22 at the plant. We told you that the lakes at Frank
23 Colton State Park lie not far downwind of the
24 incinerator, and that a number of people use the
25 lakes for subsistence fishing. We worried about

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 16

1 mercury emitted from the plant and being deposited
2 in the lakes and by accumulating in the fish. We
3 worried that other heavy metals from the incinerator
4 could fall into area gardens and be consumed by
5 residents or ingested by children playing in the
6 dirt. Area yards had already shown high levels of
7 heavy metals such as lead and cadmium. We have a
8 high rate of cancer here, as well as elevated blood
9 lead levels in area children. We are pleased that
10 the EPA did a risk screening that substantiated
11 claims we had made. There is still subsistence
12 fishing at Frank Colton. Pregnant women and
13 children consume that fish. Due to our economy,
14 there are many more gardens in the area, and while
15 some residents have moved away, there are still
16 children who breathe the air and play in the dirt
17 downwind of this hazardous waste incinerator. And
18 we have just learned that elevated levels of PCB's
19 have been newly discovered in yards in East St.
20 Louis and Sauget.

21 We very much appreciate that you are
22 proposing to require a Continuous Emissions
23 Monitoring System for heavy metals on one of the
24 incinerator units; what a wonderful start. But
25 given the facility's long history of noncompliance,

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 17

1 we worry that the company will simply shift metal
2 bearing waste streams to the other two units, and
3 especially since you are proposing the CEMS for ju st
4 a year, there are all sorts of ways for the compan y
5 to game the results. We believe that a hazardous
6 waste incinerator should never have been located i n
7 the middle of an urban population, especially with
8 so many vulnerable low income folks. We understan d
9 the need for such a facility, but not there. Not
10 here.

11 So unless you decide to close the
12 facility, we ask that you require CEMS on all thr ee
13 units permanently.

14 We also appreciate that last year you
15 conducted an onsite compliance investigation and
16 found significant problems with Veolia's feedstre am
17 analysis where a high percentage of Veolia's wast e
18 stream profiles were found to be inaccurate. Veo lia
19 vastly underestimated the actual metals
20 concentrations in the waste stream and appeared n ot
21 to have an accurate system of analyzing waste
22 streams at all. We ask that you require analyzin g
23 each waste stream with full and accurate reportin g.
24 While this hearing appears to be limited to heavy
25 metals, given your findings about Veolia's lack o f

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 18

1 compliance and failure to accurately analyze waste
2 streams, we hope that you can also give attention to
3 PCB's and the deadly toxins, dioxins and furans, and
4 given its history and your findings from last year ,
5 please continue to do periodic inspections such as
6 the one last year. Either that, or close the
7 facility down. There are too many children, too
8 many families who may be impacted by this facility
9 if it is allowed to operate without monitoring,
10 without limits, without supervision. There are too
11 many children and too many families who already may
12 have been impacted.

13 Thank you for your consideration of
14 my comments, and I may have additional questions or
15 comments at a later time.

16 HEARING OFFICER POPE: I have a card up
17 here, I can't read the name, and I don't know if
18 it's Kathy or --

19 MS. KRISTHY ST. HILAIRE: Kristhy.

20 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Kristhy? What's the
21 last name?

22 MS. KRISTHY ST. HILAIRE: St. Hilaire.

23 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Okay. All right.
24 The next commenter is Kristhy St. Hilaire.

25 MS. KRISTHY ST. HILAIRE: My last name is

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 19

1 St. Hilaire, S-T period, H-I-L-A-I-R-E.

2 Good afternoon. My name is Kristhy

3 St. Hilaire, and I'm student at the

4 Interdisciplinary Environmental Clinic at Washingt on

5 University School of Law. I'm speaking today on

6 behalf of the American Bottoms Conservancy. The

7 American Bottoms Conservancy is a nonprofit

8 organization that educates the public about threat s

9 to the environment. The American Bottoms

10 Conservancy actively works to protect and preserv e

11 the air and water quality of the American Bottoms

12 area. The organization has over 100 members, wit h

13 many living in the Sauget area.

14 First, I would like to thank the E PA

15 for their hard work on the draft permit, and for the

16 opportunity to comment at today's hearing.

17 As EPA noted in the Statement of

18 Basis, the Veolia hazardous waste incineration

19 facility is located in an urban area of more than 2

20 million people. Most of the residents who live

21 within three miles of Veolia are low income and

22 minority, and a third of these residents are livi ng

23 below the poverty level and a minority rate of 68

24 percent. These residents are disproportionately

25 affected by the emissions from Veolia and other

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 20

1 industrial facilities in the area. This large
2 concentration of industry gives rise to concerns
3 over cumulative air quality impacts, and given the
4 area's demographics and environmental justice
5 concerns. Because of the potential adverse public
6 health impacts from the cumulative emissions
7 exposure, it's very important for regulators to
8 mitigate the dangers of these polluting plants, and
9 for these plants to strictly adhere to emissions
10 limits for harmful pollutants.

11 In this action to reopen Veolia's
12 Title V Permit, EPA is proposing to incorporate
13 heavy metal feedrate limits based on historical
14 feedrates and the feedrates from Veolia's 2008
15 performance testing. We agree with this approach
16 and believe EPA made the right decision when it
17 denied Veolia's request to extrapolate to higher
18 feedrate. Limits for low- and semi-volatile metals
19 based on the highest 12-hour rolling average Veolia
20 feedrate over a multiyear period should not hinder
21 Veolia's routine day-to-day operations in any way.
22 These limits strike a reasonable balance between the
23 company's need for operational flexibility and
24 protection of the environment and human health in a
25 disproportionately affected area. Limiting mercury

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 21

1 to feedrates that performance testing has shown will
2 not result in excess emissions is warranted, given
3 the special concerns about mercury deposition in and
4 around the lakes used for subsistence fishing
5 identified in EPA's own human health risk assessment
6 conducted for RCRA permitting.

7 However, we would like to point out
8 that the proposed mercury limits conflict with the
9 mercury limits in Veolia's RCRA permit. If Veolia
10 were to feed mercury continuously at the rate the
11 EPA is proposing, the amount of mercury fed to the
12 incinerators each year would exceed the limit of 3.6
13 kilograms per year specified in Veolia's state RCRA
14 Part B permit by approximately 93 kilograms. We
15 understand that the title -- the Title V and RCRA
16 permits are separate, and that the 3.6 kilograms per
17 year mercury (inaudible) --

18 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Could I ask you
19 just to speak up a little bit?

20 MS. KRISTHY ST. HILAIRE: Okay.

21 THE REPORTER: Thank you.

22 MS. KRISTHY ST. HILAIRE: However, the
23 mercury feedrate ultimately determines the amount
24 fed to the incinerators and, therefore, we urge the
25 EPA to consider further restricting the mercury

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 22

1 feedrate limit in the Title V permit so as to reduce
2 the likelihood of Veolia exceeding the limits set in
3 the RCRA permit.

4 In the current permitting action, EPA
5 is also proposing to supplement monitoring
6 requirements to assure compliance with the proposed
7 feedrate limits by requiring multi-metals continuous
8 emissions of monitoring systems, or CEMS, on one of
9 Veolia's three hazardous waste incinerators. This
10 is unquestionably a step in the right direction.

11 However, we believe that EPA -- the EPA did not go far
12 enough, that the only way to assure compliance with
13 the proposed feedrate limits is to require CEMS at
14 all three incinerators instead of just the one unit.

15 According to the Statement of Basis,
16 CEMS are the most direct means of ensuring --
17 ensuring compliance with emissions limits, which
18 help protect the public health and the environment.
19 Veolia's current procedure for ensuring -- for
20 ensuring compliance with emissions limits,
21 feedstream analysis, poses several challenges. With
22 feedstream analysis, there are uncertainties
23 associated with the measurement of extremely low
24 metals concentrations in the waste. In addition,
25 the heterogeneity of the waste may lead to a

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 23

1 nonrepresentative sample, and hence, an inaccurate
2 estimate of the rate at which metals are being fed
3 into the incinerators. Finally, with the feedstream
4 analysis, there is an inability to demonstrate
5 continuous compliance with regulatory emissions
6 limits, since there is generally considerable lag
7 time between sampling and analysis.

8 CEMS are the only way for Veolia to
9 ensure compliance with emissions limits. Feedstream
10 analysis supplemented by periodic performance
11 testing does not work, because the feedstreams are
12 heterogeneous, which makes accurate estimation of
13 metal feedrates very difficult. According to the
14 Statement of Basis, some wastes burned by Veolia
15 have unknown composition because of -- because their
16 composition profiles have not been provided by the
17 respective waste generators. In many cases, Veolia
18 relies on waste composition analyses supplied by
19 individual waste generators, but those analyses are
20 not always accurate. The EPA further states that
21 the performance testing that is conducted
22 periodically by Veolia provides only a snapshot of
23 the emissions and does not necessarily represent
24 actual emission, actual emissions performances with
25 respect to all waste streams burned by Veolia

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 24

1 throughout the year. Unless the EPA requires CEMS
2 on all three incinerators, EPA cannot be certain
3 that Veolia is in continuous compliance with
4 feedrate limits.

5 And additionally, we wonder whether
6 the CEMS monitors can be modified so that they, so
7 that they also monitor Units 2 and 3 simultaneously.
8 Incinerators 2 and 3 are based in one building with
9 a shared control room, waste and feed systems. As a
10 result, their stacks are in very close proximity to
11 one another. Because of their proximity, it may be
12 possible to install only one multi-metal CEM that
13 can work for both of these combustion units. In
14 this case, Veolia would only have to purchase two
15 multi-metal CEMS instead of three, and this will
16 significantly reduce the financial burden, making
17 installing CEMS at all three combustion units more
18 feasible.

19 Another reason why CEMS should be
20 required on all incinerators at Veolia is because of
21 Veolia's history of violations and non-compliance .
22 Most recently, Veolia received a Finding of
23 Violation in August of 2012 for violations of the
24 Clean Air Act after an onsite compliance
25 investigation conducted by EPA's National

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 25

1 Enforcement Investigations Center in December, 2011.
2 EPA found significant problems with Veolia's
3 feedstream analysis, where a high percentage of
4 Veolia's waste profiles were found to be inaccurate.
5 In some of these waste profiles, Veolia
6 underestimated the actual metals concentration in
7 the waste stream. Inspectors also found that Veolia
8 used generic waste profiles for waste streams that
9 contain volatile and semi-volatile metals. The use
10 of overly broad standard profiles leads to incorrect
11 metals concentrations being used to calculate the
12 feedrates for the incinerators. Veolia also used
13 several profiles that use metal concentrations
14 identical to those used in other profiles, which is
15 statistically unlikely. Veolia's past record offers
16 no basis to expect satisfactory performance in the
17 future. Because of the limitations of Veolia's
18 feedstream analysis, having a CEMS on just one
19 incinerator would not paint an accurate picture of
20 Veolia's compliance with feedrate limits, because
21 what is burned in the one monitored incinerator is
22 not representative of what is being burned in the
23 other, in the other two incinerators. All three of
24 Veolia's incinerators are fed a heterogeneous waste
25 stream, so a continued reliance on feedstream

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 26

1 analysis for these units would continue to yield
2 inaccurate emissions rates. Because of Veolia's
3 compliance history, their heterogenous feedstream,
4 and its locations in the area with environmental
5 justice concerns, CEMS monitors should be placed on
6 all three units to ensure compliance with emission s
7 limits.

8 Additionally, considering the
9 substantial benefits of using CEMS, we believe tha t
10 their use should be required permanently at Veoli a
11 instead of the proposed one-year period. Veolia' s
12 feedstream changes from day to day, month to mont h,
13 and year to year, so a one-year trial period woul d
14 not ensure compliance in the future.

15 Our last point of confusion in the
16 draft permit we would like to -- we would like
17 clarified concerns beryllium. The original permi t
18 stated on Page 11 under Work Practice and
19 Operational Requirements that the permittee shall
20 not burn hospital waste -- hospital medical
21 infectious waste, municipal waste, or
22 beryllium-NESHAP containing waste. The word
23 "NESHAP" has been deleted from the condition in t he
24 draft modified permit, presumably in an attempt t o
25 clarify the prohibition on burning

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 27

1 beryllium-containing waste, but we find the
2 condition even more confusing now than before. The
3 draft -- the draft modified permit now states that
4 Veolia cannot burn beryllium-containing waste while
5 simultaneously specifying feedrate limits for
6 low-volatile metals, which specifically include
7 beryllium. We request that EPA clarify the
8 prohibition on burning beryllium-containing waste in
9 condition 2.1(C)(1).

10 Thank you again for this opportunity
11 to speak. In addition to our comments at today's
12 hearing, we will be submitting written comments
13 before the end of the public comment period.

14 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Are there any other
15 comments at this time? Are there any other
16 comments?

17 (No response.)

18 MS. GENEVIEVE DAMICO: Let's go off the
19 record for a half hour and see if anybody comes.

20 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Unless Mr. Kellmeyer,
21 unless you want to do yours? Okay. Otherwise,
22 we're going to go off the record for about a half an
23 hour. Okay? Thank you.

24 (Off the record at 3:42 p.m.)

25 (Recess)

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 28

1 (Back on the record at 4:16 p.m.)

2 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Hello everyone. I
3 see that it's been 30 minutes, and I have no
4 commenter blue cards in my hand, so what I'd like
5 the record to show as of now that as of 4:16 p.m.,
6 since I have no commenter cards, that we are
7 recessed another 30 minutes.

8 (Off the record at 4:16 p.m.)

9 (Recess)

10 (Back on the record at 4:50 p.m.)

11 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Our comment period is
12 starting again, but we have no comments or any blue
13 cards, so what we'll do is recess another 30
14 minutes. Thank you.

15 (Off the record at 4:50 p.m.)

16 (Recess)

17 (Back on the record at 5:19 p.m.)

18 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Hello again,
19 everybody. I'm going to restart our comment period,
20 but since there are no blue cards, we'll do another
21 recess. Another 30-minute recess. Thank you.

22 (Off the record at 5:20 p.m.)

23 (Recess)

24 (Back on the record at 5:51 p.m.)

25 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Comment period will

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 29

1 resume. It's not too late to fill out the blue
2 card, so you still have time to make a public
3 comment. So we see there are no cards at this time,
4 so we'll recess another 30 minutes. Thank you.

5 (Off the record at 5:52 p.m.)

6 (Recess

7 (Back on the record at 6:20 p.m.)

8 HEARING OFFICER POPE: The public comment
9 period has opened again. We see there are no
10 comments at this time. The next public comment
11 period coming up will be the last one. So the next
12 public comment period will be the last time to make
13 your comments, otherwise we'll recess until -- for
14 30 more minutes.

15 (Off the record at 6:20 p.m.)

16 (Recess)

17 (Back on the record at 6:50 p.m.)

18 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Our next commenter
19 will be Joe Kellmeyer.

20 MR. JOSEPH KELLMEYER: No, I'm opening that
21 slot up, I'm not commenting. I'll comment only in
22 writing.

23 HEARING OFFICER POPE: Okay, let the record
24 show Mr. Kellmeyer said he will be commenting in
25 writing.

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 30

1 Okay, and there are no more
2 commenters at this time?

3 (No response.)

4 Well, this concludes our hearing
5 tonight. Remember, if you did not give oral or
6 written comments tonight, the public comment period
7 ends on April 1st; and thank you for coming.

8 Let the record show that it is now
9 6:51 p.m. on February 19th, 2013, and the hearing
10 for the Veolia ES Technical Solutions, LLC, draft
11 operating permit is now closed. Thank you.

12 (Hearing adjourned at 6:51 p.m.)

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 31

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 I, Pamela K. Needham, Certified Court

3 Reporter, Notary Public within and for the State o f

4 Missouri, do certify that the witness whose

5 testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was

6 duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness wa s

7 taken by me to the best of my ability and thereaft er

8 reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am

9 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by a ny

10 of the parties to the action in which this

11 deposition was taken, and further, that I am not a

12 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel

13 employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or

14 otherwise interested in the outcome of the action .

15

16 -----

17 Notary Public within and for

18 the State of Missouri

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 32

A	19:2	application	21:14	burned 23:14
ability 31:7	Agency 1:1 3:1	11:6,12,14	back 5:17 28:1	23:25 25:21
acceptable	3:12 4:4,6,8	appreciate	28:10,17,24	25:22
10:23	5:6,11	16:21 17:14	29:7,17	burning 26:25
accepts 9:2	agency's 15:20	approach	balance 20:22	27:8
accidents 15:21	agree 20:15	20:15	based 20:13,19	burns 9:25
accumulating	air 1:3,8 3:5,13	appropriate	24:8	C
16:2	5:14 8:22	12:21	basis 19:18	C 5:2
accurate 17:21	9:10,12,14,17	approximately	22:15 23:14	cadmium 10:5
17:23 23:12	11:18 12:1,11	12:14 21:14	25:16	10:12,19 16:7
23:20 25:19	12:14,18	April 7:15,16	bearing 14:16	calculate 25:11
accurately 18:1	16:16 19:11	7:18 30:7	17:2	call 8:12,14
Act 9:10,17	20:3 24:24	Arcaute 4:16	behalf 19:6	called 6:9 10:3
11:18,23	allowed 18:9	5:17	believe 17:5	15:17
14:19 24:24	American 15:9	area 16:4,6,9	20:16 22:11	Campus 3:10
action 7:8,10	19:6,7,9,11	16:14 19:12	26:9	7:25
13:22 20:11	amount 9:25	19:13,19 20:1	believes 12:19	cancer 16:8
22:4 31:10,14	10:6 12:12	20:25 26:4	Belleville 8:7	card 6:8 18:16
actively 19:10	21:11,23	areas 15:12	benefits 26:9	29:2
actual 17:19	amounts 9:8	area's 20:4	beryllium 10:5	cards 14:1,3
23:24,24 25:6	analyses 23:18	arsenic 10:5,12	10:12,18	28:4,6,13,20
add 7:17 11:14	23:19	10:18	26:17 27:7	29:3
addition 22:24	analysis 12:24	AR-18J 4:8	beryllium-co...	case 24:14
27:11	12:25 13:1,3	assessment	27:1,4,8	cases 23:17
additional	13:7 17:17	21:5	beryllium-N...	cause 11:19
12:25 13:6	22:21,22 23:4	associated	26:22	CCR 3:11 4:20
18:14	23:7,10 25:3	22:23	best 31:7	CEM 24:12
additionally	25:18 26:1	assure 12:4	bit 21:19	CEMS 12:9,10
24:5 26:8	analyze 18:1	22:6,12	blood 16:8	12:19,22
address 8:16	analyzes 9:4	assures 11:23	blue 6:8 14:1	14:22 17:3,12
adequate 12:18	analyzing	attempt 26:24	28:4,12,20	22:8,13,16
13:9	17:21,22	attention 15:20	29:1	23:8 24:1,6
adhere 20:9	Andrea 4:14	18:2	Bottom 15:9	24:15,17,19
adjourned	5:15	attorney 31:12	Bottoms 19:6,7	25:18 26:5,9
30:12	Andria 2:8	August 11:4	19:9,11	Center 25:1
administer	15:6,7,8	24:23	Boulevard 4:8	certain 3:11
15:15	announcement	authority 11:19	7:25	24:2
Administrative	7:14	available 6:20	breathe 16:16	CERTIFICA...
5:11	answer 7:1	7:6 8:9 11:16	broad 25:10	31:1
administrator	13:11	11:21	brought 15:20	Certified 31:2
15:15	anybody 27:19	average 20:19	building 3:10	certify 31:4
adverse 20:5	APPEARAN...	A-N-D-R-I-A	7:23 24:8	Chair 15:9
aforemention...	4:1	15:8	bulk 9:3	challenges
3:8	appeared 17:20	B	burden 24:16	22:21
afternoon 3:9	appears 17:24	B 3:10 7:23	burn 26:20	chance 6:13,19
5:3 8:20 15:7	31:5		27:4	

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 33

changed 13:21	6:18 14:4	condition	Coordinator	31:5,11
changes 26:12	15:5 18:24	26:23 27:2,9	4:4 5:5	describe 9:14
Chicago 4:9	28:4,6 29:18	conducted 11:3	copy 13:20	describes 9:25
5:19 8:22	commenters	17:15 21:6	counsel 31:9,12	detail 9:15
Chief 5:13 8:22	30:2	23:21 24:25	court 4:19 5:7	details 7:8
children 16:5,9	commenting	conflict 21:8	6:10,24 31:2	determine
16:13,16 18:7	29:21,24	confusing 27:2	CSR 3:11 4:20	12:12,20
18:11	comments 2:7	confusion	cumulative	determines
chromium 10:5	5:23 6:4,5,11	26:15	20:3,6	21:23
10:12,18	6:14,21,21	Conservancy	current 22:4,19	determining
claims 16:11	7:3,9,17,17	15:9 19:6,7	C.F.R 11:24	10:2
clarified 26:17	13:12,18,19	19:10	12:2	develop 10:16
clarify 26:25	15:3 18:14,15	Conservation	D	developed
27:7	27:11,12,15	15:9		10:25
Clean 9:10,17	27:16 28:12	consider 21:25	D 5:2	different 14:25
11:18 14:19	29:10,13 30:6	considerable	Damico 2:5 4:7	differing 9:8
24:24	community 4:4	23:6	5:13 6:2 8:18	difficult 23:13
Clinic 19:4	5:5 14:15,20	consideration	8:20,21 27:18	dioxins 18:3
close 13:13,16	company 8:17	18:13	damico.gene...	direct 22:16
17:11 18:6	17:1,4	considered	4:10	direction 22:10
24:10	company's	11:15	dangers 20:8	31:8
closed 30:11	20:23	considering	data 9:24 10:1	Director 14:8
Club 15:10	compliance	26:8	10:9 11:16,21	dirt 16:6,16
Coalition 14:9	10:15 11:10	considers 11:20	David 4:14	discovered
Colton 15:23	11:23 12:4	consistent 13:9	5:15	16:19
16:12	17:15 18:1	14:25	day 3:8 26:12	disposal 9:1,2
combustion 9:6	22:6,12,17,20	consume 16:13	26:12	disproportio...
10:1 24:13,17	23:5,9 24:3	consumed 16:4	day-to-day	19:24 20:25
combustors	24:24 25:20	contain 9:8	20:21	Distance 7:22
10:14,16 12:2	26:3,6,14	25:9	deadly 18:3	distribute
13:10	composition	contained	dealing 14:14	13:18
come 8:14	23:15,16,18	13:17	December	Docket 7:11
comes 27:19	comprehensive	containers 9:3	11:12 25:1	8:9
coming 29:11	11:3	containing	decide 17:11	document
30:7	concentration	26:22	decision 20:16	13:18
comment 6:13	20:2 25:6	continue 18:5	deleted 26:23	documents 7:7
6:19 7:4,14	concentrations	26:1	delivered 14:20	8:8
7:15 11:1	17:20 22:24	continued	Democrat 8:7	downwind
13:13,15,15	25:11,13	25:25	demographics	15:12,23
13:16,22,23	concerns 20:2	continuous	20:4	16:17
13:25 19:16	20:5 21:3	12:8 16:22	demonstrate	draft 2:5 5:23
27:13 28:11	26:5,17	22:7 23:5	23:4	6:3 8:2,23
28:19,25 29:3	conclude 6:15	24:3	denied 20:17	19:15 26:16
29:8,10,12,21	6:16	continuously	deny 11:13	26:24 27:3,3
30:6	concluded 10:1	21:10	deposited 16:1	30:10
commenter	concludes 30:4	control 24:9	deposition 21:3	Due 16:13

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 34

duly 31:6	environment 14:10 19:9 20:24 22:18	28:19	25:20 27:5	front 8:15
E	environmental 1:1 3:1,12 4:4 4:6,8,15 5:6 5:16 14:9,15 14:16 19:4 20:4 26:4	exceed 21:12 exceeding 22:2 excess 21:2 Excuse 21:18 expect 25:16 explain 7:9 exposure 20:7 extended 7:15 extrapolate 20:17 extremely 22:23	feedrates 14:14 20:14,14 21:1 23:13 25:12 feedstream 13:3,7 17:16 22:21,22 23:3 23:9 25:3,18 25:25 26:3,12 feedstreams 23:11 fifteen 12:15 file 7:9,17 filed 13:15 files 13:19 fill 14:1,2 29:1 filling 6:7 final 13:20 Finally 23:3 financial 24:16 financially 31:13 find 7:10 27:1 Finding 24:22 findings 17:25 18:4 finish 6:20 finished 6:17 first 6:2 8:18 14:4 19:14 fish 16:2,13 fishing 15:25 16:12 21:4 flexibility 20:23 floor 6:18 folks 17:8 foregoing 31:5 found 10:9,22 17:16,18 25:2 25:4,7 four 5:12 Francisco 4:16 5:17 Frank 15:22 16:12	full 17:23 fun 14:7 furans 18:3 further 21:25 23:20 31:11 future 25:17 26:14
E 5:2,2 East 3:10,10 7:24,25 8:6 15:11 16:19 economy 16:13 educates 19:8 Education 3:10 7:24 Edwardsville 3:10 7:24 EEE 12:2 effective 9:22 Either 18:6 elevated 16:8 16:18 eliminate 13:8 emission 23:24 emissions 9:12 9:13 10:17 11:25 12:8 14:22 16:22 19:25 20:6,9 21:2 22:8,17 22:20 23:5,9 23:23,24 26:2 26:6 emitted 12:11 16:1 employed 31:9 31:13 employee 31:12 ends 30:7 Enforcement 25:1 engineers 4:15 5:16 ensurance 22:19 ensure 11:22 12:17 23:9 26:6,14 ensuring 22:16 22:17,20	EPA 1:1,7 3:1 4:12 5:12,14 5:16,18,20,24 7:5,15,17 8:18,23 9:15 9:18,20,23 10:1,9,10,14 10:22,22,23 11:5,6,13,15 11:17,20 12:3 12:16,19,23 12:25 14:12 15:15,18 16:10 19:14 19:17 20:12 20:16 21:11 21:25 22:4,11 23:20 24:1,2 25:2 27:7 EPA's 5:23 8:1 8:11 11:18 21:5 24:25 EPA-R05-O... 7:12 8:10 equipment 15:1 ES 1:3,8 3:5,12 5:24 8:3 9:19 30:10 especially 17:3 17:7 estimate 23:2 estimation 23:12 evening 3:9 everybody 5:4 5:18 8:21	F facilities 9:5 20:1 facility 5:11 8:25 9:1 17:9 17:12 18:7,8 19:19 facility's 16:25 fact 7:5,6 failure 18:1 fall 16:4 families 18:8 18:11 FAP 13:4,5,9 far 15:23 22:11 feasible 24:18 February 1:8 3:8 7:21 30:9 fed 21:11,24 23:2 25:24 federal 10:13 13:9 feed 10:8 21:10 24:9 feedrate 9:23 9:24 10:3,6 10:11,16,21 11:8,14 12:5 12:17,20,24 20:13,18,20 21:23 22:1,7 22:13 24:4	G G 5:2 game 17:5 gardens 16:4 16:14 generally 23:6 generators 23:17,19 generic 25:8 Genevieve 2:5 4:7 5:13 6:2 8:18,20,21 27:18 give 6:11 8:18 15:3 18:2 30:5 given 16:25 17:25 18:4 20:3 21:2 gives 20:2 go 22:11 27:18 27:22 going 14:6,7 27:22 28:19 Good 5:3 8:20 15:7 19:2 gotten 6:19 governing 10:13 Group 15:10 groups 15:14	H half 6:14 27:19 27:22 hand 28:4 hard 19:15

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 35

harmful 20:10	20:13	incinerator	investigation	lakes 15:22,25
hazardous 9:4	history 15:21	15:13,17,19	17:15 24:25	16:2 21:4
9:8,12,14	16:25 18:4	15:24 16:3,17	Investigations	large 20:1
10:14 12:1,1	24:21 26:3	16:24 17:6	25:1	late 29:1
13:10 15:13	holding 6:25	25:19,21	Involvement	Law 19:5
16:17 17:5	hope 18:2	incinerators	4:4 5:5	lead 10:5,11,19
19:18 22:9	hospital 26:20	10:8 12:9	issue 5:24	16:7,9 22:25
health 20:6,24	26:20	21:12,24 22:9	issued 9:18,20	leads 25:10
21:5 22:18	hour 10:8	22:14 23:3	10:10	learned 16:18
hearing 1:7 2:3	27:19,23	24:2,8,20	issuing 9:22	Learning 7:22
3:8 4:2 5:3,7	hours 3:9	25:12,23,24		leave 6:12
5:7 6:1,7 7:1	human 20:24	include 27:6	J	Legal 8:5
7:2,21 8:1,5	21:5	included 10:14	Jackson 4:8	Let's 27:18
8:11,24 13:24	H-I-L-A-I-R-E	including	James 7:25	level 19:23
15:5,20 17:24	19:1	10:11	Janet 4:3 5:4	levels 16:6,9,18
18:16,20,23	I	income 17:8	January 8:7,8	lie 15:12,23
19:16 27:12		19:21	Joe 29:19	likelihood 22:2
27:14,20 28:2	ID 7:11 8:10	incorporate	JOSEPH 29:20	limit 6:13
28:11,18,25	identical 25:14	10:24 11:8,20	justice 14:15	21:12 22:1
29:8,18,23	identified 21:5	20:12	20:4 26:5	limitations
30:4,9,12	identify 8:16	Incorporation	K	25:17
heavy 10:4,7	IEPA 3:12	13:6	K 3:11 4:20	limited 17:24
11:20 16:3,7	IL 3:11 4:9,20	incorrect 25:10	31:2	Limiting 20:25
16:23 17:24	Illinois 3:9,11	index 2:1 6:8	Kaskaskia	limits 10:3,4,6
20:13	5:19 6:1 7:23	individual	15:10	10:11,16,21
held 3:8 7:22	8:1,4,25	23:19	Kathleen 2:7	10:23,24 11:1
Hello 28:2,18	impacted 18:8	industrial 20:1	14:4,6,11	11:8,15,20
help 12:16	18:12	industry 20:2	Kathy 2:8 15:6	12:5,17,21
22:18	impacts 20:3,6	infectious	15:7,8 18:18	18:10 20:10
heterogeneity	implementing	26:21	Kellmeyer	20:13,18,22
22:25	11:24	informal 6:25	27:20 29:19	21:8,9 22:2,7
heterogeneous	important	information	29:20,24	22:13,17,20
23:12 25:24	14:17 20:7	5:22 8:10	kilograms	23:6,9 24:4
heterogenous	improve 12:23	12:20	21:13,14,16	25:20 26:7
26:3	inability 23:4	ingested 16:5	know 6:7 14:24	27:5
high 16:6,8	inaccurate	inspections	18:17	listen 5:22
17:17 25:3	17:18 23:1	18:5	Kristhy 2:8	Litigation 4:20
higher 3:10	25:4 26:2	Inspectors 25:7	18:19,19,20	little 21:19
7:24 20:17	inaudible	install 12:7	18:22,24,25	live 15:11
highest 20:19	21:17	24:12	19:2 21:20,22	19:20
Hilaire 2:8	incinerate	installing 24:17	L	living 19:13,22
18:19,22,22	15:13	intended 11:13	Lab 7:22	LLC 5:25 8:3
18:24,25 19:1	incinerates 9:6	Interdiscipli...	lack 17:25	9:19 30:10
19:3 21:20,22	incineration	19:4	lag 23:6	located 5:25
hinder 20:20	9:2 12:11	interested		8:3 17:6
historical 9:23	19:18	31:14		19:19

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 36

locations 26:4	10:17,19 11:9	20:20	note 13:20	operational
Logan 2:7 14:5	11:9 12:13,13	multi-metal	noted 13:11	20:23 26:19
14:6,11	13:2,3 16:3,7	24:12,15	19:17	operations
long 16:25	16:23 17:19	multi-metals	notice 8:5	20:21
look 14:13,21	17:25 20:18	12:8,10,22	11:13	OPLs 10:4
Louis 3:10,11	22:24 23:2	22:7	number 15:5	opportunity
4:21 7:24 8:1	25:6,9,11	municipal	15:24	14:13 19:16
8:6 15:12	27:6	26:21	<hr/> O <hr/>	27:10
16:20	middle 17:7	<hr/> N <hr/>	O 5:2	oral 13:14 30:5
low 17:8 19:21	midnight 7:18	N 5:2	object 15:15,18	order 8:13
20:18 22:23	Midwest 4:20	name 5:4 6:8,8	obligation 13:8	organization
low-volatile	miles 19:21	6:9,10,24	October 9:22	8:17 19:8,12
10:17 11:9	million 19:20	8:14,15,16,21	11:5	original 15:19
12:13 13:2	minority 19:22	14:10 15:7	offers 25:15	26:17
27:6	19:23	18:17,21,25	office 5:19 8:23	outcome 31:14
<hr/> M <hr/>	minute 6:15	19:2	Officer 2:3 4:2	overly 25:10
maintain 13:9	minutes 6:14	names 8:12	5:3,7 13:24	oversight 15:18
major 9:11	9:15 12:15	National 9:13	15:5 18:16,20	overview 2:5
making 5:8	28:3,7,14	11:25 24:25	18:23 27:14	6:3 8:19
24:16	29:4,14	necessarily	27:20 28:2,11	o'clock 3:9,9
mark 6:15	Missouri 14:9	23:23	28:18,25 29:8	<hr/> P <hr/>
material 9:9	31:4,18	necessary	29:18,23	P 5:2
matter 1:7 3:8	mitigate 20:8	11:22	official 5:10 7:4	Page 2:2 26:18
3:11,12	MO 3:11 4:20	need 14:1,2	Ogulei 4:14	paint 25:19
maximum 10:6	4:21	17:9 20:23	5:15	Pamela 3:11
means 22:16	modification	Needham 3:11	Okay 18:23	4:20 31:2
measurement	9:16 11:7,12	4:20 31:2	21:20 27:21	paper 6:22
22:23	modified 24:6	neither 31:9	27:23 29:23	parameters
media 4:16	26:24 27:3	NESHAP 12:1	30:1	10:3
5:18	modify 9:17	26:23	once 12:14	Park 15:23
medical 26:20	monitor 8:6	never 17:6	one-year 26:11	part 5:10 6:2
members 15:11	24:7	newly 16:19	26:13	7:1,4 11:24
19:12	monitored	News 8:7	onsite 17:15	12:2 21:14
mercury 10:4	25:21	newspaper 8:6	24:24	parties 31:10
10:11,17 11:8	monitoring	8:8	Onyx 15:17	31:13
12:12 13:2	12:4,6,8	noncompliance	open 13:22	parts 6:1
16:1 20:25	16:23 18:9	16:25	opened 29:9	PCB's 16:18
21:3,8,9,10	22:5,8	nonprofit 19:7	opening 2:3	18:3
21:11,17,23	monitors 14:22	nonrepresent...	14:12 29:20	pending 3:12
21:25	24:6 26:5	23:1	operate 12:7	people 5:12
metal 9:23	month 26:12	non-complia...	18:9	14:8 15:24
11:20 17:1	26:12	24:21	operates 9:10	19:20
20:13 23:13	Morgan 4:14	North 4:21	operating 5:23	percent 19:24
25:13	5:15	Notary 3:11	8:2 9:18 10:3	percentage
metals 10:4,7	moved 16:15	31:3,17	15:16 30:11	17:17 25:3
	multiyear			

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 37

performance 10:25 11:4,16 11:21 14:24 20:15 21:1 23:10,21 25:16	persons 6:6 petition 15:17 petitioned 15:14 picture 25:19 pieces 14:25 placed 8:11 26:5 Plan 13:4 plant 15:22 16:1 plants 20:8,9 play 16:16 playing 16:5 please 6:9,11 6:13,15,23 8:14 13:20 18:5 pleased 16:9 point 21:7 26:15 Policy 14:9 pollutants 9:12 9:14 12:1 14:17 20:10 polluting 20:8 Pope 2:3 4:3 5:3,4 13:11 13:24 15:5 18:16,20,23 27:14,20 28:2 28:11,18,25 29:8,18,23 population 17:7 portions 13:21 poses 22:21 possible 24:12 potential 20:5 poverty 19:23 Practice 26:18 Pregnant 16:12 prepared 7:5 8:23 PRESENT 4:12	preserve 19:10 president 15:8 presumably 26:24 previously 9:18 Prior 9:22 problems 17:16 25:2 procedure 22:19 procedures 9:16 12:24,25 13:1,7 process 12:12 processes 9:6 profiles 17:18 23:16 25:4,5 25:8,10,13,14 prohibition 26:25 27:8 promises 14:19 proposal 8:2 propose 10:23 proposed 10:21 10:23 12:5,6 12:23,25 13:22 15:16 21:8 22:6,13 26:11 proposing 5:24 9:15 11:17 12:3 16:22 17:3 20:12 21:11 22:5 protect 12:18 19:10 22:18 protection 1:1 3:1,12 4:4,6,8 5:6 20:24 provide 6:2 7:8 provided 10:2 23:16 provides 23:22 provisions 13:5 proximity 24:10,11	public 1:7 2:7 3:8,11 6:4 11:1 13:23,25 19:8 20:5 22:18 27:13 29:2,8,10,12 30:6 31:3,17 publicly 8:9 published 8:6 purchase 24:14 putting 14:22 p.m 5:1 7:21 27:24 28:1,5 28:8,10,15,17 28:22,24 29:5 29:7,15,17 30:9,12 <hr/> Q quality 12:18 19:11 20:3 question 7:1 questions 5:22 6:5 13:12 18:14 <hr/> R R 5:2 7:25 rate 16:8 19:23 21:10 23:2 rates 26:2 RCRA 21:6,9 21:13,15 22:3 read 18:17 really 14:17 reason 24:19 reasonable 20:22 receive 7:18 received 7:2,3 24:22 receives 9:3,7 13:19 receiving 11:13 recess 27:25 28:9,13,16,21	28:21,23 29:4 29:6,13,16 recessed 28:7 record 5:1,11 6:4 7:4,7,10 7:19,20 25:15 27:19,22,24 28:1,5,8,10 28:15,17,22 28:24 29:5,7 29:15,17,23 30:8 recreate 15:11 reduce 22:1 24:16 reduced 31:8 regarding 5:23 7:14 Region 4:8 14:12 registered 8:13 8:14 regulations 10:13 11:24 13:10 regulators 20:7 regulatory 23:5 related 31:9 relations 4:16 5:18 relative 31:12 release 6:18 reliable 10:2 reliance 25:25 relies 23:18 remaining 6:21 REMARKS 2:3 remember 6:23 30:5 reopen 10:24 11:14,17,19 20:11 reopening 11:22 repeat 6:23
---	--	--	---	--

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 38

reporter 4:19 5:8 6:10,24 14:7 21:18,21 31:1,3	24:10 results 10:21 11:6 12:14,16 17:5	Services 4:20 serving 5:6 session 7:1 set 10:6 22:2	specifically 27:6 specified 11:10 13:3 21:13	stringent 13:4 student 19:3 subject 8:24 9:12
reporting 17:23	resume 29:1 return 6:20	share 5:21 14:16	specifying 27:5 spell 6:9,24 8:16	submit 6:21 10:21
reports 12:14 15:21	reviewed 9:23 right 15:2 18:23 20:16 22:10	shared 24:9 sheet 7:5,6 shift 17:1	spelled 14:11 St 2:8 3:10,10 4:21 7:24,25 8:6 15:11 16:19 18:19 18:22,22,24 18:25 19:1,3 21:20,22	submitted 11:5 13:15
represent 23:23	rise 20:2 risk 16:10 21:5	shipments 9:3 show 7:20 28:5 29:24 30:8	stacks 24:10 staff 8:23 standard 25:10 Standards 9:13 11:25	submitting 27:12
representative 25:22	rolling 20:19 room 3:10 7:22 8:15 24:9	shown 16:6 21:1	start 7:13 16:24	Subpart 12:2
representing 8:17	routine 20:21 running 12:19	Sierra 15:10 significant 9:16 17:16 25:2	starting 28:12 state 6:9 8:15 15:23 21:13 31:3,18	subsistence 15:25 16:11 21:4
request 20:17 27:7	<hr/> S S 1:1 3:1,12 5:2	significantly 24:16	standard 25:10 Standards 9:13 11:25	substantial 26:9
requests 13:19	sample 23:1 sampling 23:7	simply 17:1 simultaneously 24:7 27:5	start 7:13 16:24	substantiated 16:10
require 16:22 17:12,22 22:13	satisfactory 25:16	site 5:16 slot 29:21	state 6:9 8:15 15:23 21:13 31:3,18	successful 15:18
required 10:13 10:15,20,25 12:7,22 24:20 26:10	Sauget 5:25 8:3 8:25 16:20 19:13	Smith 2:7 14:5 14:6,11	stated 26:18 Statement 19:17 22:15 23:14	suggest 14:21
requirements 12:4 22:6 26:19	Schedule 10:15 11:10	snapshot 23:22 solid 9:4	starting 28:12 state 6:9 8:15 15:23 21:13 31:3,18	supersede 13:4
requires 24:1	School 19:5	Solutions 1:3,8 3:5,13 5:25 8:3 9:19 30:10	stated 26:18 Statement 19:17 22:15 23:14	supervision 18:10
requiring 22:7	screening 16:10	sorts 17:4 sounds 14:11	states 23:20 27:3	supplement 12:3 13:1 22:5
residents 16:5 16:15 19:20 19:22,24	Second 6:3 seconds 6:16 section 5:14 8:22	source 9:11 sources 14:23	statistically 25:15	supplemented 23:10
respect 23:25	see 27:19 28:3 29:3,9	Southern 3:9 7:23	stats 14:23 step 22:10	supplied 9:24 23:18
respective 23:17	semi-volatile 10:19 11:9 12:13 13:2 20:18 25:9	speak 6:6,11 8:13 21:19 27:11	storage 9:1,5 stream 17:18 17:20,23 25:7 25:25	supported 11:15,21
respond 6:5 13:12,14	separate 21:16 September 9:21 10:22 11:4	speaking 19:5 special 21:3 specialist 4:16 5:18	streams 17:2 17:22 18:2 23:25 25:8	sure 14:18,19
response 13:17 27:17 30:3		specific 10:6	Street 4:21 strictly 20:9 strike 20:22	surrounding 15:12
responses 13:17				sworn 31:6
restart 28:19				system 12:8 16:23 17:21
restating 13:25				systems 22:8 24:9
restricting 21:25				S-T 19:1
result 21:2				<hr/> T take 6:3 11:1 taken 31:7,11

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 39

Technical 1:3,8 3:5,13 5:25 8:3 9:19 30:10 temporary 9:5 term 9:24 terms 12:6 test 10:16,21 11:16,21 12:16 testimony 7:2 31:5,6 testing 20:15 21:1 23:11,21 tests 10:25 11:4 11:6 12:11 thank 14:12 15:3 18:13 19:14 21:21 27:10,23 28:14,21 29:4 30:7,11 thereto 31:13 think 14:17 third 19:22 Thompson 7:25 threats 19:8 three 9:6 10:16 14:25 17:12 19:21 22:9,14 24:2,15,17 25:23 26:6 time 6:16,17,19 11:2 14:23 18:15 23:7 27:15 29:2,3 29:10,12 30:2 title 9:11,16,17 9:20 11:7,17 11:23 13:7 15:16,20 20:12 21:15 21:15 22:1 today 5:9,13,21 6:5 7:6 8:13	13:12,15 19:5 today's 5:7 6:1 8:24 19:16 27:11 told 15:22 tonight 30:5,6 toxins 18:3 transcript 5:8 5:9,10 transfers 9:4 treatment 9:1 trial 26:13 two 6:1,14 17:2 24:14 25:23 types 10:7 typewriting 31:8 U U 1:1 3:1,12 ultimately 21:23 uncertainties 22:22 underestimat... 17:19 25:6 understand 17:8 21:15 unit 12:10 22:14 units 9:7 10:1 16:24 17:2,13 24:7,13,17 26:1,6 University 3:10 7:23 19:5 unknown 23:15 unquestiona... 22:10 unreliable 10:10 urban 17:7 19:19 urge 21:24 use 9:16 12:22	15:24 25:9,13 26:10 U.S 4:4 5:5 12:16,19 V V 9:11,17,17,20 11:7,18,23 13:7 15:16,20 20:12 21:15 22:1 varied 9:7 vastly 17:19 Veolia 1:3,8 3:5 3:12 5:24 8:2 9:3,7,10,19 9:20,24,25 10:2,7,15,20 11:3,5,11,18 12:7,21 15:13 15:19 17:18 19:18,21,25 20:19 21:9 22:2 23:8,14 23:17,22,25 24:3,14,20,22 25:5,7,12 26:10 27:4 30:10 Veolia's 8:25 10:10 12:24 13:3,8 17:16 17:17,25 20:11,14,17 20:21 21:9,13 22:9,19 24:21 25:2,4,15,17 25:20,24 26:2 26:11 Violation 24:23 violations 15:21 24:21 24:23 volatile 25:9 vulnerable 17:8	W want 27:21 wanted 14:10 14:12 warranted 21:2 Washington 19:4 waste 9:2,4,5,7 9:25 10:7,14 12:2 13:10 15:13 16:17 17:2,6,17,20 17:21,23 18:1 19:18 22:9,24 22:25 23:17 23:18,19,25 24:9 25:4,5,7 25:8,8,24 26:20,21,21 26:22 27:1,4 27:8 wastes 23:14 water 19:11 way 20:21 22:12 23:8 ways 17:4 website 8:11 West 4:8 we'll 6:3 15:3 28:13,20 29:4 29:13 we're 14:14 27:22 we've 14:18 wish 6:11 wishes 6:12 wishing 6:6 withdrew 11:11 witness 31:4,6 women 16:12 wonder 24:5 wonderful 16:24 word 26:22 work 19:15	23:11 24:13 26:18 works 19:10 worried 15:25 16:3 worry 17:1 writing 13:14 15:3 29:22,25 written 6:10 7:3,9 13:14 27:12 30:6 www.regulat... 7:11 8:9 Y yards 16:6,19 year 12:10,18 17:4,14 18:4 18:6 21:12,13 21:17 24:1 26:13,13 yield 26:1 1 1st 7:15,16,18 30:7 10th 8:7 11:5 100 19:12 11 8:8 26:18 11th 4:21 12th 9:21,22 12-hour 20:19 13th 11:12 14 2:7 15 2:8 18 2:8 19 1:8 19th 3:8 7:21 30:9 2 2 15:5 19:19 24:7,8 2.1(C)(1) 27:9 2003 15:19 2004 15:14
--	--	--	--	---

PUBLIC HEARING 2/19/2013

Page 40

2008 9:21,22 10:22 11:4,5 20:14 2011 25:1 2012 11:12 24:23 2013 1:8 3:8 7:16,21 8:8 30:9 2083 3:10 7:22 26th 10:22 <hr/> 3 3 12:10 24:7,8 3.6 21:12,16 3:00 3:9 3:12 5:1 3:16 7:21 3:42 27:24 30 6:16 28:3,7 28:13 29:4,14 30-minute 28:21 312 4:9 314-644-2191 4:22 353-4761 4:9 <hr/> 4 4:16 28:1,5,8 4:50 28:10,15 40 11:24 12:2 <hr/> 5 5 2:3 4:8 14:12 5:19 28:17 5:20 28:22 5:51 28:24 5:52 29:5 <hr/> 6 6:20 29:7,15 6:50 29:17 6:51 3:9 30:9 30:12 601 7:25 60604 4:9	63 12:2 63101 4:21 68 19:23 <hr/> 7 71 11:25 711 4:21 77 4:8 <hr/> 8 8 2:5 <hr/> 9 93 21:14			
---	--	--	--	--